

Title/Question: Untitled
Tool Type: Form
Activity ID: 76
Report Date Range: 30 Mar 2022 - 8 Sep 2023
Date Exported: 28 Sep 2023 03:06 pm
Exported By: s.gilmour

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------|--|
| May 11, 2022, 10:42 AM | 1504 | 1 | 1 | Better visibility | Taxi rank needs to be moved back by atleast 1 parking space. Taxis always sitting out of the rank and too close to crossing. Poor visibility due to the middle of the crossing, you cannot see pedestrians entering the right hand side when on the left side of the road. | 1 | We need more parking, so if the parking spaces can be saved and visibility access granted in another way this would be better. | Active | Resident |
| May 03, 2022, 03:46 PM | 1464 | 1 | | Because some drivers will ignore the fact that bays are shorter / I saw someone do so this morning although he was not one of the overly high or large vehicles. | I still believing turning the pedestrian crossing into a bicycle or motorbike parking area and moving the crossing to the after side of the garden bed is the best possible solution . I have been told however that pedestrians prefer a straight line access to the supermarket but it is only a very slight deviation in my opinion. The only other solution is a speed hump some metres back and or rumble strips. | 1 | My only hope is that the community gets behind this and a sensible solution is decided. | Active | Resident |
| May 02, 2022, 04:53 PM | 1418 | 1 | 1 | Because drivers will park lined up with the cars need to them. Because the problem exists when approaching from both sides of the crossing and people will ignore the fact the parking bays are smaller. It also depends on the height of the vehicles parked there. | Remove at least 1 car park, you need to see people when they have already started crossing the road, shortening the car parks will have virtually no benefit especially with high vehicles which are predominant in the drive. Leave the two bays for motorcycles or bicycles. There is also a problem at the crossing with the taxi rank blocking vision of the crossing. | 1 | Just remove 2 car spaces for better visibility. A trial will not improve the problem. The problem has existed for years yet Council seems always reluctant to act or just ignores pedestrian safety. | Active | Rate payer |
| 1386 Apr 29, 2022, 02:16 PM | | 1 | | | A while back, there was a suggestion to move the crossing back towards the market building, or put more parking in front of the market building, perhaps there could be a two bay clearance each side of the crossing (perhaps a small garden with low shrubbery), whilst increasing the number of parking bays in Moyley Street. I would also be happy to see no more right hand turning into Frederick Street, as it causes much confusion for pedestrians and vehicles (as drivers often forget that they must give way to pedestrians when turning, to totally remove the car park that are being shortened as this will ensure that the drivers can clearly see pedestrian on the crossing or waiting to use the crossing and create a safe crossing space for the pedestrians who will also have more visual view of the on coming traffic, this helps the elderly and the disabled to navigate crossing the road in a safe manner as well as the rest of the general public to navigate the crossing safely) | 1 | Former resident who often visits the area (5-5 times per month) | Active | Other- Former Resident, Member of some local organisations |
| 1369 Apr 25, 2022, 07:38 AM | | 1 | 1 | Because large vehicles still park in the shortened bays | | | Concern is that the Council ensures to follow up implementing a safe zebra crossing at Moyley Street is put into plans, do not do a band aid fix. Do the job completely and quickly. | Active | Resident |
| 1296 Apr 06, 2022, 09:20 PM | | 1 | 1 | Pedestrian will have more of a clear view of the on coming traffic to cross safely | | | Please don't compromise safety with convenience. No ambulant person (disability parking is already provided) is going to be worse off for waiting or extra 15m to the shops, but people will be significantly worse off being involved in a pedestrian being struck, both the pedestrian and the motorist. It's a no brainer really! | Active | Resident |
| 1294 Apr 06, 2022, 08:41 AM | | 1 | 1 | It doesn't go far enough | There needs to be clear line of site to the footpath. Pedestrians should not have to enter the road to be seen by oncoming motorists. | 1 | | Active | Resident |
| 1276 Apr 05, 2022, 10:46 PM | | 1 | 1 | It is really hard to see the pedestrians unless you come to a stop just in case | I think removing the car parks all together would be better than having small cars there | 1 | | Active | Resident |
| 1274 Apr 05, 2022, 02:51 PM | | 1 | 1 | Better sightlines | Improved streetlights works including different road materials similar to 'walk Bandridge' project in proximity to the Bandridge town hall. | 1 | No | Active | Resident |
| 1273 Apr 05, 2022, 10:15 AM | Person with Disability | 1 | | West bound traffic cannot see short people / children, wheelchairs. Even with a small car in it both parks there is still a problem. | Removal of cars blocking vision or convert to bicycle of motor bike parks or wider gardens | 1 | I have experienced seven dangerous encounters on this crossing. This can also check with taxi drivers who see these problems... There have been known accidents here already... A number of us have gone along and checked the idea of small cars currently parking there and they continue to block the view of pedestrians crossing from north to south. The Police have also looked at the crossing and their information was shared with several community groups and the Shire stating it is not safe. | Active | Resident |
| 1272 Apr 04, 2022, 09:17 PM | | 1 | | I don't think it is enough, I believe it is safer for all if those parking spaces are Removed. | Remove those two car parks. | 1 | | Active | Resident |
| 1270 Apr 04, 2022, 04:09 PM | | 1 | 1 | Won't improve vision. Creates 2 classes of 1 parking. | Put the bloody thing mid square! ...or chop out 2 parks altogether | 1 | It is the most dangerous pedestrian crossing I have ever seen. It's not fair on motorists! | Active | Resident |
| 1269 Apr 04, 2022, 12:06 PM | | 1 | | 1. What trial? Where are the signs and monitoring of said trial. And how on earth is shortening the parking bays going to increase visibility of children running across the pedestrian crossing, or wheel chair users, or 1 short people to car users? | 1.2.3 Remove the bays for car parking! Replace with at best, racks for bicycles, at worst, for motorbikes. 1.4.5 Phase Redesign crossing lights or pedestrian lights at the crossing. Surely these 2 options have to be far more economically viable than that cost of council's liability when a serious accident occurs? | | Why was this decision made and who by? Level of real community consultation by users of this crossing? Both regular pedestrians and drivers? My elderly mobility with mobility, sight and hearing impairments is too scared to use this allocated crossing due to "near misses" that she has both experienced and witnessed! I come to complete halt, stop, at either side of the zebra crossing when I am driving as I am so concerned that I won't see a pedestrian until it is too late. I have reported my concerns to the police as I think it is an ongoing risk to our community that council is not taking seriously. I first lodged my concerns on the council online portal over a year ago and have received no response whatsoever! The proposed trial is quite an unbelievable response to the real safety of our large aged population. Very disappointing. | Active | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--------|------------------------------|
| 1268 Apr 04, 2022, 10:38 AM | 1 | 1 | <p>The trial will highlight the users, how often the crossing is used, the speed in which drivers travel and how tuned to pedestrians are to check that drivers are aware that they are about to use the crossing before leaving the footpath.</p> <p>Vehicles of any size in those spaces will obscure vision of children or wheelchair users crossing the street. Short or seated people will not be seen as they step out onto the crossing from the north footpath. Westbound traffic cannot see through vehicle</p> | <p>- Erect signage that attempts to highlight pedestrian responsibility or a warning to check for car/drivers before stepping off the footpath</p> <p>- Improving VIC Roads signage to highlight the pedestrian crossing</p> <p>- Reduce speed of traffic</p> <p>A communications campaign: through local paper</p> <p>- conduct interviews in the street</p> | <p>Resident in Maldon and Receptionist at Castlemaine Community House</p> | <p>I travel at a lower speed along Mostyn Street aware that people do not look first before crossing.</p> <p>I have observed trucks parked in loading zones close to the crossing which may obstruct sightlines for pedestrians and drivers.</p> <p>There have been several near misses at this crossing and there is an onerous risk of serious injury. More delay increases the risk and Shire capability. By the time this survey closes and a 6-month trial finishes and a decision is made, it will be the end of the year. There is an immediate, safe and low cost solution available - take it.</p> | Active | Resident |
| 1265 Apr 04, 2022, 10:04 AM | 1 | | | | | | Active | Resident |
| 1259 Apr 01, 2022, 06:39 PM | 1 | | <p>It won't make any difference. People will use 1 carparks no matter what size car they have.</p> | <p>Consent the 2 spaces in question to motorcycle parks. Or remove the 2 carparks altogether.</p> <p>Remove those two car spots, it will make a huge difference for cars driving through as you really can't see pedestrians crossing through behind the parked cars.</p> <p>Removing two car spots won't make a huge issue for parking.</p> <p>1. Remove to two east adjacent parking bays altogether</p> <p>2. Install a 5km speed hump east side of crossing</p> <p>3. Install awareness signs for pedestrians crossing south to north and north to south on the southern side of the crossing</p> <p>4. Install greater awareness signs for west bound drivers alerting them to a high risk low visibility pedestrian area.</p> <p>5. Relocate pedestrian crossing further to the west into the already open space outside the Information Centre</p> | | | Active | Resident |
| 1256 Apr 01, 2022, 12:33 PM | | Vehicle and Pedestrian | <p>Driver peripheral vision both left and right. An inordinate degree of concentration is necessary for drivers travelling east to west to cover all 3 three aspects of visual/pedestrian awareness.</p> | <p>Or, 6. install controlled traffic lights forcing drivers to stop whilst the pedestrian crossing is in use.</p> | <p>Mostyn St business owner and local resident</p> | <p>It seems a waste of time and rate payers money. Are you going to monitor the cars parking in the smaller spaces?</p> <p>It does little to address the peripheral vision limitation for west bound motorists.</p> <p>Nor does it promote awareness for pedestrians of driver danger when entering the crossing, particularly from the southern direction. In the belief that their "right of way" is guaranteed safety.</p> <p>I also wish to note the high use of the crossing by cyclists, skateboard riders and mobility vehicle users.</p> <p>There has been too many close calls with pedestrians at this crossing - can we not put traffic lights or flashing lights or something to cars are reminded to look for pedestrians coming from both directions</p> | Active | |
| Mar 31, 2022, 06:59 1253 PM | 1 | | <p>Cars will still park in same space and ignore 1 shorter size park.</p> | <p>Remove both car parks immediately adjacent to crossing so children, slower pedestrians, elders and my friends in wheelchairs / scooters can be seen.</p> | | | Active | |
| Mar 31, 2022, 08:07 1245 AM | 1 | 1 | <p>Better visibility - currently when a large vehicle, like a SUV, is there it is hard to view traffic.</p> | <p>Better visibility as above. A traffic calming hump would be good as cars often move quite quickly along here.</p> | | | Active | Resident |
| Mar 31, 2022, 04:45 1244 AM | 1 | 1 | <p>Anything to improve visibility at the crossing will be great. Drivers don't always stop or stop late which is a bit scary when crossing, especially with children</p> | <p>More traffic calming infrastructure and signage</p> | | <p>A trial is a good format</p> | Active | Resident; Rate payer |
| Mar 30, 2022, 10:08 1242 PM | 1 | | <p>Because the cars parking there will still be the 1 same length.</p> | <p>I don't see any safety issues. People (mostly) drive safely and are aware it's a crossing.</p> | | <p>No, just think it's a bit pointless.</p> | Active | Resident; Worker; Rate payer |

Mar 30, 2022, 09:57
1241 PM

1

1
Better line of sight- especially important so that
motorists see pedestrians

Reduce the speed limit in this area (see 4.
below)

1

This seems to be a very minor attempt to
increase pedestrian and cyclist safety. How is it
to be evaluated? Having only one crossing in
this very busy street seems to be inadequate -
pedestrian priority, a shared zone or shared
space with an appropriate speed limit would be
preferable.

Active

Resident

Mar 30, 2022, 07:58
1240 PM

1

1
More visibility when approaching, less chance
of trucks or large vehicles parking there

1

Active

Resident; Worker;
Business owner; Rate
payer